## 1987 PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

"Campus Stories, or The Cat Beyond the Canvas" Patti P. Gillespie

Jane Blankenship told me that the best part of being an SCA persistent was the opportunity to travel about the country giving epidecite to travel about the country giving epidecite addresses. I have conclude, regretfully, that I lack Dr. Blankenship's taltent for epidecite. In the absence of impiration, I law tried to substitute perspiration. I first followed the published advice of Campbell and Jamesson and read past presidential addresses, hoping to discover the gener in order successfully to emulate it. Borrowing from Fisher, I explored marrise's, seeking help from Oborn. I studied

metaphors, and from Bormunn, Initiasies, Although each of these exercises gave me great personal pleasure, none gave me a subject the thing that I most craved Normally. I would seek a subject from my own area of research, but my situation is complicated here, because my own research is at some distance from yours. I work in theater's thereoy and history, fields that are increasingly distant from even the most generous definition of com-

Just when I had began to despair of finding a suitable subject, my students insuferrently came to the rescue, as they so often do. Their cives, together with those of some public of ficials. have brought me again to the subject of education within colleges and universities, but I want to move to flat subject indirectly but I want to move to flat subject indirectly and experience that gave me the title for these remarks. I have called my talk Campus Stories, or The Call Boyond the Canvas.

or lock-til devode the Army to speed up the property of the property of the property of the order of the property of the property of the property of the property of the control of the property of propert

heard, what had happened. Gradually, as I thought about it, the sequence became clear to me. A zebra had been fleeing a lion, who had caught it just outside my tent and was now feasting on it. The five of us in the camp would remain hostage inside our tents until the heat of the day when the lion, satisfied and sleepy, would move away from us. Very well, I thought, I will try to calm down and wait. I waited, I had only begun to regret my last night's beer when I heard what sounded like human footsteps-yes, they were human because I next heard the unmistakable sound of nots and pans. The cook was out of his tent. Thinking him slightly mad, I dressed hastily. looked out carefully, and then joined him hurriedly at the fire. "Where was the lion kill?" I asked in butchered swahifi. "No lion." he said, "hyena fieht."

Embarrassed as only a tourist can be. I resolved not to make such a silly error again. A couple of weeks later, on a hillside outside Maralal. I was awakened to hear a horrible replay of those same noises, again quite close

replay of those same noises, again quire close to my tent; pounding hooves, loud growls, low purs. This time I remained proudly unafraid. Although the cook seemed a bit late in rising, as soon as I heard him up, I joined him at the fire for coffee, "Hyenas," I said, confidently, "No, he said," pointing not very far away, "Lion kill."

This tale is cautionary: things may not be as they seem; things may not be as we hear

them to be. And indeed, some things are not as we hear them to be in higher education. For example, for complex reasons that are reclevant to my point, we at Maryland have been lately called to discuss—to reconsider the role of blacks and women in higher education. As I have participated in such discussions. I have discovered that most of my students now strongly support equal opportunities for black and women faculty and vidents. Most

sons. Those discovered that most of my saudents was sons. Those discovered that most of my saudents. Not conceals that equal apportunity probably did not exist before about 2070 but that is used them, and the control of the other control of

those in the 1985 and early 1975, are expanding the 1985 and early 1975, are expanding the 1985 and early 1985 and early 1985 and earlierly non-est—thoping that my students, 1 saked an entirely uniccentific sample of my faculty colleagues to how they feld about these issues. From one I women and black faculty had been rendered women and black faculty had been rendered littlegal by event decisions of the Supreme Court from another I learned that GRE source were the best way to make admission decisions be cause of their objectivity; and from a flitted I control of the I was a supplied to the control of the I would be the I was a supplied to the I w

For those here who might not understand my dismay with these responses, let me explain that recent Supreme Court decisions have updeled affirmative action plans: that GRE scores, unless a supposedly predict coademic success, are decomed consistently lower than men even though women, as a group, make consistently lower than men even though women, as a group, make consistently haver that though women, as a group, make consistently have the threater of the management of the second of the

the exclusionary male pronoun he in classes.

of the students are now likely to be woment. The more I talked with people I knew, the clearer it became to me that all the hoopla over equal opportunity and affirmative action has created the illusion of a protound change in colleges and universities, a change that simply has not occurred. Most of my students—and at least some of my colleagues—are, in a sense, living inside my tent in Kenya, hearing noise and constructing a story accordingly. But these stories, like mine in Kenya, little resemble the reality, the colleges and universities that you and I work in

The colleges and universities that we work in have fewer boak undergraduates in them today than were there six years ago, in terms of both percentages and absolute numbers. The absolute number of black graduate sudents is declining, as is both the number and the proportion of black faculty members, of whom early half are still employed in historically black institutions, fideded, between 1977 and for one-year mutations in the fullied States de-clined 310 of one percent, during the same period in Illinois, but percentage in the control of the period in Illinois, but percentages are some period in Illinois, but percentages are some period in Illinois, but percentages are some period in Illinois, but percentages the present purposed.

Statistics at leading, prestigious institutions are especially sobering. At Ohio State, for example, about 2% of the faculty is black; at the University of Michigan, which boasts the best record within the Big Ten, about 3%; within the Ivy League, "only Columbia had more than Michiganl, with slightly over 4%. Moreover, the rate of this decline seems destined to accelerate because the number of black students in colleges and universities has dropped. And among those blacks who enroll in colleges, a disproportionately high percentage do not finish. At the University of Maryland, for example, only about one fourth of the black students who enrolled in 1981 had graduated by 1986. The American Council on Education concluded in its most recent study that "the participation (of blacks) in education at every level is declining," this at a time when the proportion of both blacks and hispanies in the general population is growing. These trends have caused the ACE to declare an educational crisis and to move minority participation in higher education to the top of its agenda for action

By several measures, then, it is clear that black people have not made the tremendous strides in our academies that my students are attributing to them. Perhaps women have. Let us therefore turn to consider briefly the status of women in these same academies. Here I will confine myself mostly to my own university, not only because I know it best and because I am quite proud of many parts of it but also because its chancellor has set as his ton goal the recruitment and retention of women and blacks, and he has exerted both leadership and pressure during his four years as chancellor to move our university toward this goal. He must have been reasonably successful, for Maryland now has a better record with regard to women than any of its peer institutions, a comparison our public affairs office trumpets with considerable pride.

with considerable pride.

Although we are proud to be number one, we would be less proud, perhaps, if we looked more closely at how little it took to win the contest. In all modesty I tell you that you see before you on this podain at this moment exactly 50% of all female department chairs at the University of Maryland, whose student the University of Maryland, whose structure.

population stands right at 40,000. The other

fifty percent heads the old Department of Home Economics, Maryland has no accome down

Economics, Maryland has no women deans, vice presidents, provosts, or chancellors, although it has many assistants to or associates to such positions.

In the past five years, the percentage of

women faculty at all ranks has risen by 3 of 1% so that, in 1986, women faculty at Maryland represented 17.5% of the total. If my mathematics is correct and the speed of change continues at its present rate, women at the University of Maryland will reach their appropriate representation in only another three hundred

and ninety five years.
We in the arts, humanities, and social sciences are often quick to point the finger at fields like engineering and physics for having too few women, while assuming that fields like or or wan are more enlightened. But Maryland's College of Arts and Humanities, which administers fields like ours, has only the prevent misters freshelds the ours, has only one prevent found in that supposedly made preserve, the United States Maryland's

Let us consider another kind of measure. In keeping with its commitment to equality, Maryland has, since 1980, undertaken each year a study of faculty salaries. In this study each female faculty member is matched with a male faculty member whose credentials resemble hers most closely in terms of field of research, year of degree, rank, time in rank, and so on. Every year since 1980, the study has concluded that, on average, equivalently qualified women were recommended for lower salaries than their matched men, and so every year since 1980 the university has required adjustments to eliminate dispurities that seem explainable only by gender. Again this last year, 1986, the study ended by makine total dollar adjustments of about \$40,000 to 27 women and \$150 to 2 men. Stated another way, those disparities inexplicable except through gender averaged about \$1500 for the women and about \$75 for the men. If after six years of annual salary reviews such discrepancies still appear annually, what must we conclude about the pervasiveness of salary inequalities at universities where such corrective measures are neither in place nor advocated by the university's chief executive officer?

I do not intend to place blame for the failure of our affirmative action programs, although I am confident that there is plenty of blame to go around. And I do not have the wisdom to suggest a new course of action which, if embraced, would result in a faculty that was more equitably hired and more representative of the world in which we live. Instead I want you to join me in considering the peculiarity of the discourse that surrounds affirmative action programs. In a way, I want us to focus our attention on that one sixteenth of an inch of tent canvas that prevents our seeing what is going on around us. I want to explore why some people imagine great changes to have taken place in the academy when, in fact, pitifully few have come about.

Those of you in mass communication will be delighted to learn that we cannot use mass media to excuse our failure to see and understand. Features in the press and on radio and clevision regularly carry stories of continuing inequities in salary, continuing imbalances in personnel, and occasional instances of outright racial and sexual harassment in colleges and universities. The Chronicle of Higher Education, Academe, the ACA Bulletin, and Nation.

Public Radio all regularly provide statistics relating to demography and salary, and all statistics show that neither blacks nor women appear poised to take over the universities. If not the media, from where do we get the

sems of radical improvement? We get it, I think, from our own ancedotes, from the shories that we tell one another, Let me illustrate with only two examples, snippets of conversation that I have beard at eachemic gatherings of the last year. I suspect you'll find some version of each familiar. I recently heard a male senior professor say with considerable ridde that only facility there.

were now an equal number of men and women.

His observation was quickly affirmed by one

of the junior men in the department. Because I knew the department and could not get the figures to tally. I asked that we go through the faculty list together. Both men were surprised when we discovered that, by actual count, the current faculty consisted of nine men and five women, that is almost two men for each woman. This conversation is instructive in two ways: first, it suggests that had I not been there both men would have convinced themselves (and perhaps their colleagues) that the department had achieved parity, with predictable consequences to later hiring efforts; and second, it suggests that in educational situations where women und blacks have been so dismally underrepresented, their presence in even modest numbers echoes visually, multiplying images like repeating mirrors, to distort the picture of their representation.

My second example is in many ways both more telling and more disturbing. I overheard a female faculty member respond to an affirmative action officer. "If thoughts surely the days when we had to hire women because they were women was over. Surely now we are looking for the best qualified person." She had not not be to the position because they are also had not possible to be possible to be an action to the position because was a woman. This conversation, like the first, contains

valuable clues to understanding both our failures and our discontint with affirmative action. The faculty member is taking as though, to cause of affirmative action, faculty positions are now being exqual; as though, because of affirmative action, faculty positions are now beings, because of affirmative action, race and see awarded on the basis of race and sex; so the cause of affirmative action, race and sex underlying assumption embedded here is that in the good old days, souttons in American universities were awarded solely on the basis of the good of days, souttons in American universities were awarded solely on the basis of the days of the days.

But of course that assumption is quite wrong. Academic positions in this country have historically been awarded on the basis of race and sex: the race was white and the sex was male. Put another way, for years men routinely got jobs because they were men, which because they were white. But in those earlier days the practice of hiring based on so the days the practice of hiring based on so the many the practice of hiring based on so the sex was the practice of hiring based on so the sex was the practice of the prac

And now, I think, we are getting close to the nature of the canvas that allows us to confuse a lion and a hyena, close to seeing why we imagine changes that have not occurred and why we find affirmative action so threatening. The answer is this: Through our stories, we have invented for our academies a culture that does not-and has not ever-existed. Before affirmative action we told ourselves that we hired only the best qualified people. The power of this story remains strong, both because America has always prided itself on fairness and because many of us, hired before the days of affirmative action, have a great personal investment in its vision. Since affirmative action we tell ourselves that we have corrected whatever inequities existed. The power of this story is also strong, again because America prides itself on fairness and because Americans believe themselves canable of solving even in-

terriev intribetives capaine or solving even in markable problems; in the world do not make loss out of hyenss. Aftirmative action has not markedly changed the face of the Academy of communication and the power of anguage, we have a special responsibility to call a hyens, we have a special responsibility to call a hyens, a hyen as we have a pecial responsibility to confront the nature of our own perceptual camass and then to help others see in Only through confronting the falseness of our own stories are not ever achieve in reality the academy that

## we have so long imagined in our minds. Endnotes

'These statistics on Blacks in higher education were cited in Robert H. Acwell, "Message from the President," ACE Annual Report (1986): 3. 'U.S. Equal Opportunity Commission, as cited in Black Issuer in Higher Education 4,14 (1) October

1987): 5.

'Ibid.
'Office of Institutional Studies, University of

Maryland.

<sup>5</sup>Atwell, p. 3.

<sup>2</sup>Office of Instinctional

\*Office of Institutional Studies, University of Maryland, as cited in Barnabos Fadope, "Minority Retention Programs Weighed," The Diamondhark.

Office of Institutional Studies, University of Maryland, Judy Mann, "The Salary Gap," The Washington Post, 14 October 1987. B 3.

\*Office of Institutional Studies, FY 86 Faculty Salary Review, p. 2.

For a copy of the National Coalition Against Censorship's 1987 edition of BOOKS ON TRIAL, send \$5.00 to: NCAC, 132 W, 43rd St., New York, NY 10036.